

Scoring of Evaluation Criteria

Technical Criteria: Qualitative Score Definitions

5	Excellent	 Should ensure extremely effective performance. Surpassed expectations Demonstrates superior approach.
4	Very Good	 Should be more than adequate for effective performance. Generally exceeds criteria relative to quality/performance and no major deficiencies exist in the areas assessed. Demonstrates the full range of skills appropriate for meeting the needs & expectations of RGRTA.
3	Good	 Should be adequate for effective performance. Meets criteria relative to quality and ability required for successful performance and reasonable probability of success. Demonstrates sufficient range of skills to meet the needs & expectations of RGRTA.
2	Fair	 Meets most of the requirement, but represents a somewhat inferior approach. Insufficient for desired performance requirements. Does not demonstrate a sufficient range of skills appropriate for meeting the needs & expectations of RGRTA.
1	Poor	 Significantly below criteria required for successful performance. Low probability of success. Many deficiencies or major problem exists.
0	Fail	Failed to address the evaluation criteria or provide reasonable expectation the Vendor can meet the specification/scope.

Price Criteria: Quantitative Score Formula

5	Lowest Price Offered
<5	5 x (Low Price Offered / Price Being Evaluated)

Questions?

- If you have any questions about the scoring of criteria for a specific event, please contact the Procurement Officer for the Event, which is identified in the Supplier Portal (supplierportal.myrts.com)
- If you have a general question about scoring of Evaluation criteria, please contact Justin Feasel, Director of Procurement & Contract Administration at jfeasel@myrts.com